

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,334	12/22/2003	Horst Eckert	056982/00038	5578
7590 07/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP 919 Third Avenue			BURCH, MELODY M	
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
		DATE MAIL ED: 07/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/743,334	ECKERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melody M. Burch	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>09 May 2005</i> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 May 2005 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a)  accepted or b)  object on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan of correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-13) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s	offinitially (170–13) s)/Mail Date offormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/743,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to because the drawings introduce new matter in figures 1a-1c and figure 9. See specification objection below. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the

Application/Control Number: 10/743,334 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

## Specification

3. The amendment filed 5/9/05 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The originally filed specification fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the following: the inclusion of figure 1b which includes vehicle dynamics and combination mass being inputs into J2. Examiner notes that claim 22 recites that the step of determining the brake application energy reference value is based on vehicle dynamics data and the mass of the vehicle combination. J2, however is the step wherein vehicle deceleration control determines the brake pressure level KAPPA from comparison of Z-set and Z-actual and not the step of determining the brake application energy reference value as recited. Similarly, support is lacking for the inclusion of new step J1a particularly between J1 and J2. Such a modification fails to show the limitation of the step of determining the brake application energy reference value including filtering the brake application energy reference value since steps J1 and J2 fail to include the step of determining the brake application energy reference value. The inclusion of the detailed arrangement of elements as set forth in new figure 9 lacks sufficient support in the originally filed

Application/Control Number: 10/743,334

Art Unit: 3683

specification. Finally, the inclusion of the phrase "the axle-load can be applied in linear...relationships" in paragraph 18 constitutes new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the specification fails to provide support for the term "affine equations" recited in claim 20.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP-0697314 (EP'314) in view of EP-0445575 (EP'575).

EP'314 describes in pgs. 1-2 of the instant application a method for controlling brake application energy in a vehicle combination including a tractor vehicle equipped with an electronic braking system and a trailer vehicle comprising the steps of determining, during braking of the vehicle combination, a set deceleration value, measuring, during braking of the vehicle combination, an actual deceleration, comparing the set deceleration value with the actual deceleration value, determining a brake application energy reference value (see lines 3-5 of pg. 2), determining a brake

Application/Control Number: 10/743,334

Art Unit: 3683

application energy level for the tractor vehicle and a brake application energy level for the trailer vehicle and calculating a set brake application energy value for the tractor vehicle and trailer vehicle based on the set deceleration value, the reference value, and energy level for the tractor vehicle and trailer vehicle, respectively in accordance with the sets of performance characteristics (see the last three lines of pg. 1), providing sets of performance characteristics (see line 9 from the bottom of pg. 1).

EP'314 lacks the limitation of determining an axle-load ratio for the tractor vehicle. EP'575 teaches determining an axle load ratio for the tractor vehicle in lines 11-13 of pg. 9 of the specification.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of EP'314 to have included determining an axle load ratio for the tractor, as taught by EP'575, in order to provide a means of improving vehicle stability control by stabilizing the tractor vehicle.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melody M. Burch whose telephone number is 571-272-7114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,334 Page 6

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mmb July 25, 2005

milody m. Brist 7/25/05